This record relates to Agenda Item 18

RECORD OF CHILDREN & YOUNG PEOPLE COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT:

ANNUAL REPORT ON BRIGHTON & HOVE MUSIC EDUCATION HUB

AUTHOR:

PETER CHIVERS

THE DECISION

That the Committee notes the progress and development of the Brighton and Hove Music and Education Hub as outlined in the report and approves the draft Business Plan (Appendix 1) to the report.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

That the Committee notes the progress and development of the Brighton & Hove Music Education Hub and to approve the proposed Business Plan.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

Not applicable.

Proper Officer:

Date: 16 October 2012

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services **Signed:**

CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

- (i) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (ii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

This record relates to Agenda Item 19

RECORD OF CHILDREN & YOUNG PEOPLE COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT:

ANNUAL REPORT ON SCHOOL ATTENDANCE, ACCESS AND EXCLUSIONS

AUTHOR:

JO LYONS

THE DECISION

To note the information contained in the Annual Report on School Attendance, Access and Exclusions.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

For Information.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

Not applicable.

Proper Officer:

Date: 16 October 2012

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services **Signed:**



CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

- (iii) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (iv) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

This record relates to Agenda Item 20

RECORD OF DECISION

SUBJECT:

OPTIONS FOR PROVIDING ADDITIONAL SCHOOL PLACES BETWEEN SEPTEMBER 2013 AND SEPTEMBER 2016

AUTHOR:

GILLIAN CHURCHILL

THE DECISION

(1) That the Committee notes the possible options for providing additional pupil places within the City and recognises that all proposals will be dependent on capital funding being made available;

(2) That Committee agrees that Officers will consult with schools and their communities on the proposal to permanently expand the following primary schools from September 2013 by one form of entry (FE) each;

- The Connaught Building, West Hove Infants (from 3 FE to 4FE)
- Stanford Infants (from 3FE to 4 FE)

(3) That Committee agrees that Officers will consult with schools, their community and the Anglican diocese on the proposal to permanently expand the following primary school from September 2014 by one FE:

• St Marks C.E. Primary (from 1 FE to 2 FE);

(4) That Committee agrees that Officers will consult with school, their community and the Anglican diocese on the proposal to permanently expand the following primary school from September 2014 by one FE.

• Aldrington C.E. Primary (from 1 FE to 2FE);

(5) That Committee agrees Officers will consult with schools and their communities on the proposal to permanently expand the following junior schools, should their relevant infant school be expanded as proposed above by one FE each;

- Stanford Junior School (from September 2016)
- "Connaught" Junior School (from September 2017)

(6) That Committee agrees Officers will consult with schools and their communities on the proposal to expand places at Hove Park;

(7) That Committee recognises that Kings School Free School (5 FE) is planned to open in September 2013 and that officers will assist the proposers in their seach for a permanent site;

(8) That Committee agrees that Officers will continue to explore other potential opportunities for primary and secondary schools. This will require

engagement with the Department for Education with regards to the future provision of new schools;

(9) That Committee recommends to Council the publication of the updated School Organisation Plan 2012 to 2016 and the Consultation Document by the end of October 2012.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

Current and projected pupil numbers for the city as a whole show there is an immediate and ongoing need for additional school places in the city as a whole.

To meet the projected future growth in primary school numbers we should be looking to provide a minimum of 120 additional primary school places which equates to 4 forms of entry in Hove by 2015. since this will only address the need it would be sensible to provide an additional 5 forms of entry across the city as a whole to maintain some surplus capacity. In addition Officers project a need for an extra 30 places, one form of entry in south Brighton.

To meet the projected future growth in secondary pupil numbers we should be looking to provide a minimum of 150 places by 2017.i

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

The paper presents the range of options available to address the need for future places within the City. Should any of the proposals not progress then other schools will have to be identified as the need for places remains.

Proper Officer:

Date: 16 October 2012

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services **Signed:**

CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

- (v) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (vi) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

This record relates to Agenda Item 21

RECORD OF CHILDREN & YOUNG PEOPLE COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT:

CHILDREN IN NEED POLICY AND CARE PLANNING FORUM

AUTHOR:

RICHARD HAKIN

THE DECISION

(1) That the Committee the Child in Need Policy can be taken to Local Safeguarding Children Board (LSCB) and incorporated into the child protection procedures for staff; and

(2) That the Committee notes the new Care Planning Forum Process.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

Members with responsibilities for children and families are normally made aware of significant additions to the Local Child Protection Procedures (Local Safeguarding Board will also consider this report in the next month or so);

Members with responsibilities for children and families and political accountability for the budgets should have assurance that potentially high cost decision are suitably scrutinised and recorded by managers of a sufficient experience and grade; and

There is likely to be an Ofsted Safeguarding inspection in Brighton & Hove in the Spring or early summer of 2013. It is crucial to the likely outcome of the inspection that we can evidence having made progress on the policy, practice and quality assurance of our children in need work. It will also assist this inspection for the and DCS to have a working understanding of how we are seeking to improve Children in Need work in discussing this with inspectors.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

The Children in Need (CIN) policy is a result of extensive discussions and development work around the implementation of our Ofsted Action Plan, our work to implement national guidelines from the Munro Report, particularly on "timely and effective help" and also our Service Improvement Plan. The resulting policy has attempted to incorporate all of these elements.

The Early Care Planning Forum process has been developed to ensure that a formal and robust process is established for the significant decision in relation to risk and cost to bring a child into care within the CIN Team. The aim is for this to be overseen by a consistent group of senior CIN managers. The option to return to the 207 -10 model of multi-agency Area Panels was considered but the experience of this was that the social work managers still took the lead in decision making Care Planning Forum.

Proper Officer:

Date: 16 October 2012

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services **Signed**:



CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

- (vii) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (viii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

This record relates to Agenda Item 22

RECORD OF CHILDREN & YOUNG PEOPLE COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT:

NEW REFERRAL PROCESS FOR CHILDREN IN NEED TO CHILDREN'S SOCIAL WORK

AUTHOR:

ELLEN JONES

THE DECISION

That the Committee accept the proposal that, if Family CAF numbers do not reach target levels by the end of October 2012, all professional referrals for Children in Need of Social Work from I January 2013 would require a Family CAF process in place prior to the referral being accepted. The timescale had been extended from the original one for 1 November to enable maximum consultation and discussion with partner agencies over the next three months.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

To improve outcomes for children, young people and families through provision of effective early help coordinated through the Family CAF process, resolving issues early and preventing the need for referral social work.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

The current policy in relation to Family CAF has not produced the expected increase in family CAF activity. This is the reason for the proposed change in policy.

Proper Officer:

Date: 16 October 2012

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services **Signed:**

CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

- (ix) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (x) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.